

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/660,718             | MORI, AKIRA         |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Peter Szekely          | 1714                |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Peter Szekely.

(2) william Solomon.

**Status of Application: Allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 January 2006

**Time:** 10:55 a.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None.*

Claims discussed:

*claim 16.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*the claimed range in claim 16 will be changed to 5 to 35%.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)